

PCT

WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION  
International Bureau



INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

<p>(51) International Patent Classification <sup>7</sup> : <b>H01L 21/66</b></p>	<p><b>A1</b></p>	<p>(11) International Publication Number: <b>WO 00/54325</b></p> <p>(43) International Publication Date: 14 September 2000 (14.09.00)</p>		
<table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; vertical-align: top; padding: 5px;"> <p>(21) International Application Number: PCT/IL00/00138</p> <p>(22) International Filing Date: 8 March 2000 (08.03.00)</p> <p>(30) Priority Data:  <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div>128920 09/326,665</div> <div>10 March 1999 (10.03.99) 7 June 1999 (07.06.99)</div> <div>IL US</div> </div> </p> <p>(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): NOVA MEASURING INSTRUMENTS LTD. [IL/IL]; Weizmann Scientific Park, P.O. Box 266, 76100 Rehovot (IL).</p> <p>(72) Inventors; and  (75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): FINAROV, Moshe [IL/IL]; Shkolnik Street 4/25, 76209 Rehovot (IL). SCHEINER, David [IL/IL]; Iris Street 7, 56905 Ganei Yehuda (IL). RAVID, Avi [IL/IL]; Maanit Street 6, 44288 Kfar Saba (IL).</p> <p>(74) Agent: REINHOLD COHN AND PARTNERS; P.O. Box 4060, 61040 Tel-Aviv (IL).</p> </td> <td style="width: 50%; vertical-align: top; padding: 5px;"> <p>(81) Designated States: AE, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZW, ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).</p> <p><b>Published</b>  <i>With international search report.  Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of amendments.</i></p> </td> </tr> </table>			<p>(21) International Application Number: PCT/IL00/00138</p> <p>(22) International Filing Date: 8 March 2000 (08.03.00)</p> <p>(30) Priority Data:  <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div>128920 09/326,665</div> <div>10 March 1999 (10.03.99) 7 June 1999 (07.06.99)</div> <div>IL US</div> </div> </p> <p>(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): NOVA MEASURING INSTRUMENTS LTD. [IL/IL]; Weizmann Scientific Park, P.O. Box 266, 76100 Rehovot (IL).</p> <p>(72) Inventors; and  (75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): FINAROV, Moshe [IL/IL]; Shkolnik Street 4/25, 76209 Rehovot (IL). SCHEINER, David [IL/IL]; Iris Street 7, 56905 Ganei Yehuda (IL). RAVID, Avi [IL/IL]; Maanit Street 6, 44288 Kfar Saba (IL).</p> <p>(74) Agent: REINHOLD COHN AND PARTNERS; P.O. Box 4060, 61040 Tel-Aviv (IL).</p>	<p>(81) Designated States: AE, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZW, ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).</p> <p><b>Published</b>  <i>With international search report.  Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of amendments.</i></p>
<p>(21) International Application Number: PCT/IL00/00138</p> <p>(22) International Filing Date: 8 March 2000 (08.03.00)</p> <p>(30) Priority Data:  <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div>128920 09/326,665</div> <div>10 March 1999 (10.03.99) 7 June 1999 (07.06.99)</div> <div>IL US</div> </div> </p> <p>(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): NOVA MEASURING INSTRUMENTS LTD. [IL/IL]; Weizmann Scientific Park, P.O. Box 266, 76100 Rehovot (IL).</p> <p>(72) Inventors; and  (75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): FINAROV, Moshe [IL/IL]; Shkolnik Street 4/25, 76209 Rehovot (IL). SCHEINER, David [IL/IL]; Iris Street 7, 56905 Ganei Yehuda (IL). RAVID, Avi [IL/IL]; Maanit Street 6, 44288 Kfar Saba (IL).</p> <p>(74) Agent: REINHOLD COHN AND PARTNERS; P.O. Box 4060, 61040 Tel-Aviv (IL).</p>	<p>(81) Designated States: AE, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZW, ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).</p> <p><b>Published</b>  <i>With international search report.  Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of amendments.</i></p>			
<p>(54) Title: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR MONITORING A CHEMICAL MECHANICAL PLANARIZATION PROCESS APPLIED TO METAL-BASED PATTERNED OBJECTS</p> <div style="text-align: center; margin: 20px 0;"> </div>				
<p>(57) Abstract</p> <p>A method is presented for optical control of the quality of a process of chemical mechanical planarization (CMP) performed by a polishing tool applied to an article having a patterned area. The article contains a plurality of stacks each formed by a plurality of different layers, thereby defining a pattern in the form of spaced-apart metal regions. The method is capable of locating at least one of residues, erosion and dishing conditions on the article. At least one predetermined site on the article is selected for control. This at least one predetermined site is illuminated, and spectral characteristics of light components reflected from this location are detected. Data representative of the detected light components is analyzed for determining at least one parameter of the article within the at least one illuminated site.</p>				

**FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY**

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece	ML	Mali	TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	MN	Mongolia	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MR	Mauritania	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MW	Malawi	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MX	Mexico	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	NE	Niger	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NL	Netherlands	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NO	Norway	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NZ	New Zealand	ZW	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	PL	Poland		
CM	Cameroon	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CN	China	KZ	Kazakhstan	RO	Romania		
CU	Cuba	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
CZ	Czech Republic	LI	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DE	Germany	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
DK	Denmark	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		
EE	Estonia						

**Method and Apparatus for Monitoring a Chemical Mechanical  
Planarization Process Applied to Metal-Based Patterned Objects**

**FIELD OF THE INVENTION**

This invention is in the field of optical monitoring techniques, and relates to a method and system for monitoring a process of chemical mechanical planarization (CMP) applied to metal-based patterned articles. The invention is particularly useful in the manufacture of semiconductor devices.

**BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION**

In the manufacture of semiconductor devices, aluminum has been used almost exclusively as the main material for interconnects. However, recent developments in this field of the art have shown that copper is posed to take over as the main on-chip conductor for all types of integrated circuits. Compared to aluminum, copper has a lower resistance, namely less than  $2\mu\Omega\text{-cm}$ , even when deposited in narrow trenches, versus more than  $3\mu\Omega\text{-cm}$  for aluminum alloys. This lower resistance is critically important in high-performance microprocessors and fast static RAMs, since it enables signals to move faster by reducing the so-called "Resistance-Capacitance" (RC) time delay. Additionally, copper has a superior resistance to electromigration, which leads to lower manufacturing costs as compared to aluminum-based structures.

During the manufacture of semiconductor devices, a wafer undergoes a sequence of photolithography-etching steps to produce a plurality of patterned layers (stacks). Then, depending on the specific layers or production process, the uppermost layer of the wafer may or may not undergo a CMP process to provide a smooth surface of this layer.

Fig. 1 illustrates the cross section of one possible example of a stack-like wafer structure 1 having aluminum interconnects. A silicon layer 2 serves as a substrate on which the stack is produced by sequentially depositing additional layers. A first layer 4 of silicon oxide, a so-called "Interlayer Dielectric (ILD) insulating layer", is deposited on the substrate 2, and aluminum interconnects 6 are formed on the layer 4 by the deposition, photolithography and etching processes. Spaces between the interconnects 6 are filled with a further ILD layer 8. Tungsten (or other metal) vias 10 are produced above the aluminum interconnects 6 by the photolithography and deposition processes, and are aimed at connecting the lower aluminum layer 6 (line) with an upper one 12. The aluminum layer 12 is then patterned to form the required connections. Prior to depositing the upper aluminum layer 12, a CMP process is applied to the ILD layer 8 to flatten its upper surface. Hence, the upper aluminum layer 12 is almost smooth, and the only local topography existing therein is that caused by dimples 10A in the upper surface of the tungsten 10. As indicated above, all the spaces between the metal features are filled with the Silicon Oxide ILD layer 8 or other dielectric layers.

Fig. 2 illustrates a cross section of a stack-like wafer structure 20 utilizing copper interconnects patterned with a known dual Damascene process. The structure 20 includes a silicon layer (substrate) 22 (whose provision is optional), ILD layers 23A and 23B, and a patterned Silicon Nitride (SiN) layer 24. For a self-aligned via scheme, the SiN layer 24 should be patterned to form vias later on. Thereafter, an ILD layer 26 is deposited on top of the patterned SiN layer 24. Then, patterning (i.e., photolithography) and etching processes are applied to the layer 26 to form trenches therein. During the etching procedure, the layer 26 is removed up to SiN layer 24 within regions 28, while within regions 28A etching continues up to

the layer 23B to form vias 29. Those manufacturers who do not use the SiN layer 24 have to conduct a more difficult two-step etching with two photolithography steps on a single thick silicone oxide layer. Further produced is a diffusion tantalum-based barrier layer 30 (or TiN or Ti), whose provision is aimed at preventing copper migration into ILD layers 23A and 23B. Copper is deposited by one of the known techniques, such as CVD, PVD electroplating or electroless plating. If electroplating is used, a thin copper seed layer 32 should be deposited above the diffusion layer 30 as a prerequisite for electroplating. Thus, depending on the deposition process, a so-obtained uppermost copper layer 34 has certain topography.

When manufacturing the aluminum-based structure 1 (Fig. 1), the application of a CMP process to the uppermost aluminum layer 12 is usually not needed. As for the copper-based structure 20 (or tungsten-based structure as well), the manufacturing process requires the use of metal removal. This is true also for processes where aluminum is deposited by the dual Damascene process.

Copper has properties that add to the polishing difficulties. Unlike tungsten, it is a soft metal and subject to scratching and embedding particles during polishing. Additionally, owing to the fact that copper is highly electrochemically active and does not form a natural protective oxide, it corrodes easily.

With the conventional technology of planarization, ILD polishing occurs after every metal deposition and etch step. The same is not true for damascene processing, wherein the post-polish surface is expected to be free of topography. However, topography is induced because of the erosion of densely packed small features arrays and dishing of the metal surface in large features.

Copper CMP is more complex because of the need completely to remove the tantalum or tantalum nitride barrier layers and copper without the overpolishing of any feature. This is difficult because current copper deposition processes are not as uniform as the oxide deposition process.

The effects of residues, dishing and erosion present defects on the wafer induced by the CMP process applied thereto. Dishing and erosion may deteriorate

the interconnections' quality, especially when the copper thickness is reduced. Indeed, the reduction of the copper thickness results in the increase of RC constants, resulting in the slower functioning of the integrated circuit. As indicated above, the lower resistance is critically important in high-performance microprocessors and fast static RAMs

One of the possible solutions for minimizing the dishing and erosion consists of a tight control of the CMP process. This may, for example, be implemented by means of a compensation strategy using metal fills and dummy structures. However, this is a costly solution in terms of lost silicon for integrated circuits and added process and design complexity. It would be ideal to polish inlaid structures without the aid of such a process and design steps.

U.S. Patent No. 5, 872, 633 discloses a method and apparatus for detecting the removal of thin film layers during the planarization. Although a technique presented in this patent is aimed at solving the residues related problem, it is capable of detecting an average thickness of a wafer under planarization, by eliminating information introduced by a pattern. It is thus evident that this technique does not provide precise measurements of the wafer's parameters, and cannot be used for determining the erosion and dishing conditions at all, which conditions, if any, are observed in the pattern area.

## **SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION**

There is accordingly a need in the art to facilitate a CMP process applied to patterned structures such as wafers having metal (e.g., copper) regions, by providing a novel method and system for monitoring the CMP process applied to such structures.

It is a major feature of the present invention to provide such a method which is capable of controlling the CMP process to prevent residues, dishing and erosion effects.

It is a further feature of the present invention to provide such a system which is capable of being integrated with the CMP process applied to wafers progressing along the production line.

The main idea of the present invention is based on the following. An optical  
5 monitoring system capable of thickness measurements in patterned structures is applied to selected locations (dies) on an article (wafer) and to predetermined sites within at least some of the selected locations. These predetermined sites are those of potential detrimental effects (i.e., residues, erosion and dishing).

To determine these sites, various methods can be used, based on simulations  
10 utilizing the information regarding the wafer's structure and materials, which information is provided by a specific manufacturer, and/or based on a preliminary optical inspection of the wafer. These sites, when having at least some of the detrimental effects of the kind specified above, are characterized by optical properties different from "normal" locations (i.e., free of the detrimental effects).

15 Having selected the sites of the potential detrimental effects, the optical monitoring system performs accurate thickness measurements, from which parameters (thicknesses) characterizing residues, erosion and dishing effects are derived. The optical system is capable of detecting light components reflected from the site under measurement and producing measured data. Analysis of this  
20 measured data utilizes an optical model based on some known features of the wafer's structure and optical factors for producing theoretical data, and includes a fitting procedure of the measured and theoretical data to optimize the model and to calculate the thickness.

There is thus provided according to one aspect of the present invention a  
25 method for optical control of the quality of a CMP process applied to an article for determining at least one of residues, erosion and dishing conditions on said article, wherein the article has a patterned area containing a plurality of spaced-apart stacks each formed by a plurality of different layers and thereby defining a pattern in the form of spaced-apart metal regions, the method comprising the steps of:

30 (a) selecting at least one predetermined site on the article to be controlled;

- 6 -

- (b) illuminating said at least one predetermined site;
- (c) detecting spectral characteristics of light components reflected from the at least one illuminated site of the article, and generating data representative thereof; and
- 5 (d) analyzing said data for determining at least one parameter of the article within said at least one illuminated site.

The at least one site to be selected may be disposed outside the patterned area. In this case, the determined parameter is indicative of the existence of residues of materials to be removed from the article.

- 10 Preferably, the at least one predetermined site is selected in the following manner. A preliminary optical inspection is applied to the article to detect light reflected therefrom and to obtain data representative of the detected light. This data is then analyzed to detect sites having optical properties different from other locations on the article. These different optical properties may be defined by a
- 15 certain range of contrast of the reflected light, or certain spectral characteristics of the reflected light. The existence of a pattern having substantially irregular geometry may be indicative of residues.

- Preferably, at least two spatially separated sites of the article are illuminated, and data representative of light reflected from these sites are generated. These data
- 20 are indicative of spectral characteristics of light components reflected from the illuminated sites. By analyzing the generated data, the parameters of the article within the illuminated sites can be determined. The determined parameters are the thicknesses of the article within these two sites.

- If one of these sites is selected outside the patterned area, and the other site
- 25 is selected inside the patterned area of the article, the difference between the thicknesses can be indicative of erosion within the site inside the patterned area. If both locations are located inside the patterned area, the difference between the thicknesses may be indicative of pattern dependent dishing and/or erosion condition.



More specifically, the present invention is used for monitoring a CMP process applied to wafers containing copper regions, and is therefore described below with respect to this application.

## BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

5 In order to understand the invention and to see how it may be carried out in practice, a preferred embodiment will now be described, by way of non-limiting example only, with reference to the accompanying drawings, in which:

Figs. 1 and 2 are schematic illustrations of semiconductor wafers having aluminum and copper interconnects, respectively;

10 Fig. 3 is a schematic illustration of the uppermost portion of a copper-based wafer under the CMP process, showing more specifically the dishing effect;

Figs. 4A and 4B are schematic illustrations of the copper-based wafer prior to and after the application of the CMP process, showing more specifically the erosion effect;

15 Fig. 5 is a schematic block diagram of a part of the wafers manufacturing production line, utilizing an optical system according to the present invention;

Fig. 6A is a schematic illustration of a profile of the wafer's surface after the CMP process;

20 Figs. 6B and 6C are schematic illustrations of two different maps, respectively, of selective sites on the wafer to which thickness measurements are to be applied;

Figs. 7A to 7C graphically illustrate the residues-related effect resulting from the CMP process;

Fig. 8A illustrates a "global residues map" of a copper-based wafer;

25 Fig. 8B schematically illustrates a map of the wafer's die;

Fig. 9 schematically illustrates the structure of a selected die, showing the main principles of the measurements according to the invention;

Fig. 10 is a schematic illustration of measured and theoretical spectrums, showing the main principles of an optical model utilized in the present invention;

Fig. 11 illustrates the patterned region of the structure of Fig. 9, showing some more principles of the optical model utilized in the present invention; and

Fig. 12 graphically illustrates three different dishing conditions obtained by a simulation procedure.

## 5 DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF A PREFERRED EMBODIMENT

Figs. 1 and 2 illustrate the wafers' structures 1 and 20 utilizing aluminum- and copper-based interconnects, respectively.

To illustrate clearly the unique features of the present invention, it would be reasonable to consider several CMP-related problems arising when dealing with a  
10 copper-based wafer (constituting a structure having a plurality of spaced-apart metal regions).

Referring to Fig. 2, a CMP process applied to the copper-based wafer 20 is aimed at removing an uppermost copper layer portion 34A, so as to provide the smooth surface of the ILD and copper layers 26 and 34. Due to the topography on  
15 the wafer's surface, different pressures are created at different locations on the wafer creating different polishing rates at the different locations. Consequentially, "islands" or residues of unpolished layers (e.g., copper layer 34, diffusion barrier layer 30) remain on the surface of the structure 20. Such selective polishing presents a common problem for all kinds of metals, as well as dielectric layers.

20 As indicated above, the complexity of the "copper" CMP is associated with the need to uniformly remove the copper layer 34 (34A), diffusion barrier layer 30 and the copper seed layer 32, namely, so as to prevent the overpolishing of any feature. As indicated above, owing to the fact that current copper deposition processes are not as uniform as the oxide deposition processes, such uniformly  
25 removal is difficult to perform.

Referring to Fig. 3, the upper portion of the structure 20 after CMP process is more specifically illustrated. The copper layer 34 is located within the region 28 enclosed between ILD layer pillars 26A and 26B. Since the ILD layer 26, located under the copper (or tungsten) layer 34 and under the diffusion barrier layer (not

shown here), is exposed to the CMP process, small and flexible particles of a polishing pad will selectively continue and polish the copper layer 34 within the region 28.

The above phenomenon is caused by the relatively soft nature of copper (as compared to that of oxide) and chemical nature of the "copper" CMP process. This phenomenon is induced by contact planes 36 (so-called "weakness planes") between the ILD pillars 26A, 26B and the copper layer 34 within the region 28. This is called "dishing", which results in the existence of a copper loss region 38.

While the copper polishing process proceeds in time, the phenomenon called "erosion" develops. Figs. 4A and 4B illustrate a stack-like copper-based structure 40 prior to and after the application of a CMP process. The structure 40 includes an ILD layer 42, the so-called "etch stop" layer 44 (e.g., SiN), ILD layer portions 46 and 48, and a copper layer 50. These stack layers define a dense structure 52 (composed of the ILD layer portions 48 and copper layer 50) surrounded by the ILD layer portions 46 in regions 54.

Such a structure 40 imposes a different polishing rate distribution over the regions 52 and 54. Due to the relatively large and harder ILD layer portions 46, as compared to the small features in the dense region 52, the polishing process proceeds quicker above the region 52, than the region 54. The highest localized polishing rate occurs in the middle of the region 52.

As shown in Fig. 4b, the above results in a bent-like local profile 56 (concave) of the upper surface of the structure 40. The existence of the profile 56 is called "erosion", presenting the direct loss of ILD and metal (e.g., copper) within a region 52.

Reference is now made to Fig. 5 illustrating a part of a production line PL for the manufacture of copper-based wafers W constructed and operated according to the invention. The wafer W represents a stack-layer structure similar to the structure 20 shown in Fig. 2. The production line part PL includes a CMP polishing pad 60 (constituting a polishing assembly), loading and unloading cassettes 62 and

64, a robot R and an optical measurement system 66. The robot R conveys wafers between the polishing assembly 60 and the measurement system 66.

The construction and operation of the CMP polishing assembly 60 are known *per se* and therefore need not be specifically described. As for the measurement system 66, its construction and operation do not form part of the present invention, and therefore also need not be specifically described and illustrated, except to note the following.

The system 66 includes a microscope-based spectrophotometer capable of measuring the thickness of thin films, and an imaging system typically comprising a CCD camera. The construction and operation of the system may be of any known kind, for example, such as those disclosed in U.S. Patent Application Ser. No. 08/497,382 assigned to the assignee of the present application. Additionally, in the system 66, light directing and detection assemblies are designed such that only a diffraction zero-order light component of the light returned from the wafer W is collected to produce measured data. This measured data is indicative of photometric intensities of light of different wavelengths reflected from the wafer W. Optionally, the system 66 could be provided with dynamic auto-focusing assembly enabling high speed measurement. The system 66 is connected to a processor unit 67 which is responsive to the measured data and is capable of analyzing these data. To this end, the processor unit 67 comprises suitable image processing software also including pattern recognition algorithms for both global and site-to-site alignment and translation means so as to locate and process measurements. Measured and analyzed data could be used for establishing a feedback closed-loop control on the CMP process.

The processor unit 67 is pre-programmed by a certain optical model, which is based on at least some features of the structure (wafer). The model is capable of determining theoretical data representative of photometric intensities of light components of different wavelengths specularly reflected from the wafer, and calculating desired parameters (e.g., thickness) of the wafer's layers. One example of the measuring technique that could be utilized in the present invention is

disclosed in co-pending U.S. application Ser. No. 09/267,989, assigned to the assignee of the present application, which application is therefore incorporated herein by reference.

In the present example of Fig. 5, the system 66 is an integrated monitoring system installed in the production line PL within a working station occupied by the polishing assembly 60. It should, however, be noted that such a monitoring system could be a stand-alone unit or an in-situ system (installed in the polishing assembly in such a manner that it can monitor the polished wafer in a real time, i.e., during polishing).

According to the present invention, prior to monitoring the CMP process, a so-called "recipe preparation" is carried out. The main concept underlying the main principles of the recipe preparation will now be described.

#### *Recipe Preparation*

The recipe preparation consists of constructing a map of measuring locations (selected dies) and sites within the selected die to which measurements are to be applied during the monitoring procedure. As indicated above, these selected locations and sites therein are areas of potential detrimental effects (residues, erosion or dishing). The selection of these locations/sites is based on the following considerations.

(1) The case may be such that full information on measuring areas is provided by the specific manufacturer, namely locations (dies) on the wafer and sites (features) within the selected location. In this case, there is actually no need for any recipe preparation, and optical monitoring system 66 is applied to the predetermined locations according to the information known from the manufacturer.

(2) It is often the case that sites within a die where the detrimental effects are likely to occur during polishing are known, but dies (locations) containing these sites have to be selected. In other words, so-called "product dependent information is known", while the process variation is not defined.

(3) A manufacturer may define specific locations (dies) on the wafer where the detrimental effects may be expected due to a known profile of deposition and the polishing process (so-called "process dependent information"). In this case, the recipe preparation is aimed at defining measuring sites within these selected dies.

5 (4) The most general case is such that no information about measuring locations (dies) nor measuring sites within the dies is provided by a manufacturer.

Figs. 6A and 6B illustrate how a typical profile of a polished wafer along its diameter may be used for defining the measuring locations (dies). Fig. 6A illustrates a profile **P** of wafer **W** that has undergone the CMP process. The profile  
10 **P** represents the distribution of the wafers' thickness **H** along the wafer's diameter **D**. This profile **P** results from both the thin films deposition processes on the wafer surface and CMP process. Fig. 6B illustrates a top view of the wafer **W**, wherein regions of different thicknesses **H<sub>1</sub>**, **H<sub>2</sub>**, **H<sub>3</sub>** and **H<sub>4</sub>** are presented by a plurality of concentric circles **C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>4</sub>**, respectively, within the wafer **W**. Each circle signs a  
15 different wafer's thickness along the surface profile **P**. The measuring locations (i.e., dies) **L** on the wafer's surface are selected in a manner to include at least one location from each circle. The locations **L** are selected at the intersections of the wafer's diameters **D<sub>1</sub>** and **D<sub>2</sub>** with the circles **C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>4</sub>**. The number of locations **L** to which measurements are to be applied is determined according to the required  
20 resolution along the profile **P**.

Alternatively, as illustrated in Fig. 6C, the measuring locations (dies) **L** can be selected in a manner to be uniformly distributed on the wafer. The selected measuring locations **L** are located in a spaced-apart relationship along a helical path 68 on the wafer's surface, on which dies are arranged in a so-called  
25 "streets-and-avenues" manner.

It should be noted that measuring sites/locations should be determined separately for residues and erosion/dishing effects.

#### *Residues*

Following are the main operational steps in the recipe preparation process  
30 for selecting "suspicious" locations for residues.

Step A: An under-polished wafer provided by a specific manufacturer may be inspected either visually (manually) or by performing a mask-independent inspection of the entire wafer using an internal or external Low Resolution Optical Inspection System of any known type. With regard to the visual inspection, it may  
5 be based on the fact that residues can be identified due to their incorrect shape, a so-called "puddle", and due to their more matte surface, as compared to the other features in the wafer.

As for the optical inspection of the under-polished wafer, it is based on the fact that, if no erosion occurs in specific locations on the under-polished wafer,  
10 there definitely will be no erosion in these specific locations on the normally polished wafer. Thus, detected residues-free locations on the under-polished wafer no longer need to be monitored. Consequently, locations to be monitored are other than these detected locations, the monitoring process being aimed at controlling the under-polished edge of the polish process window. Additionally, residues are  
15 characterized by reduced contrast in an acquired image of a patterned area (as compared to the other features). Also die-to-die or die-to-many-dies technique utilizing comparison with residues-free dies could be used for detecting residues-containing areas. Inspection is carried out to find dies with limited residues (so-called "flakes"). Sites on these dies containing residues are recorded as  
20 measuring (monitoring) sites for the edge of the process window. Hence, during the recipe preparation, images of typical residue prone sites can be stored in the processing unit as reference data.

When using a spectrophotometer, changes in the obtained spectrum are indicative of the residues. Figs. 7A to 7C illustrate three graphs (spectrums)  $G_1$ ,  $G_2$   
25 and  $G_3$ , respectively. Graph  $G_1$  corresponds to the typical dual Damascene field (i.e., without residues), graph  $G_2$  corresponds to the same field with 20A Ta residues, and graph  $G_3$  presents the situation for the same field with 300A Ta residues. These graphs present theoretical data obtained by computer simulation of the operation of the polishing assembly 60. It is clear that the larger the residues'

thickness, the sharper the spectrum difference ( $G_3$ ) from the typical one having no residues at all ( $G_1$ ).

**Step B:** A so-called "global residues map" (as shown in Fig. 8A) including zones of continuous residues  $Z_1$  and a zone of limited residues  $Z_2$  ("flakes") is constructed. The zones of continuous residues  $Z_1$ , which are typically located along a periphery circumferential region of the wafer W and within a central region of the wafer, define the zone of limited residues  $Z_2$  therebetween.

**Step C:** Within the zone of limited residues  $Z_2$  multiple dies (locations) L are selected in different, spaced-apart areas where polishing gradient have different directions.

**Step D:** Selected dies are scanned with high resolution imaging or probing to choose measuring sites within the die. Fig. 8B schematically illustrates a simplified and "not to scale" map of a die 70 located within one of the selected locations L. The die 70 contains various features, such as copper pads 72, patterned structures 74 and ILD 76. As shown, the patterned structure 74 includes intermittent regions of the copper and ILD. This map serves for the following.

It is known in the art that, when applying a CMP polisher to a wafer, the polishing rate is not equal within the wafer's die, but depends, among other things, on the specific feature within the die under polishing. Based on such information, those features in the die 70, on which a polishing rate is smaller than a predetermined value, or "suspicious" features according to their material type or post polish shape, should be selected as potential locations in which residues are likely to be formed. These are the locations to which measurements should be applied. Sites, generally at  $S_1$ , where copper or diffusion barrier layer residues are likely to remain after the CMP process, are typically located within the ILD region 76, a so-called "field" or within regions where the lower layers have a concave topography caused by erosion/dishing effects produced during the manufacture of these layers. It should be noted that the measurement sites could be chosen according to the information supplied by a manufacturer, or obtained through



previous measurements, for example in the above-indicated case of lower layers having erosion/dishing, as will be described more specifically further below.

Step E: Detected residues could be verified by additional inspection of the same dies (either predefined or determined during the recipe preparation) on a slightly under-polished wafer (as compared to that considered in step A) or on a polished-to-target wafer. Alternatively, or additionally, thickness measurements could be applied to the same dies, the thickest sites being considered as those having residues-related effects.

It is understood that, if the product dependent information is known and the process is variable, i.e., case (2) above, the above steps A and B should be carried out to select measuring dies (locations). If the measuring locations are defined and the sites within these locations have to be selected, case (3) above, the above steps C, D and E should be performed. The map of "suspicious" locations for residues is constructed in accordance with a predetermined threshold.

#### *Erosion/Dishing*

Following are the main operational steps in the recipe preparation process for selecting "suspicious" locations for erosion and/or dishing.

Step I: An over-polished or polished-to-target wafer is provided, and patterned structures within the dies are determined as measuring sites. For this purpose, High Resolution Optical Inspection or scatterometry could be used to identify sites with a suitable geometry. It is obvious that if no erosion/dishing occurs at specific locations on the over-polished wafer, there definitely will be no erosion in these specific locations on the normally polished wafer.

Step II: Dies, for example those located in areas where the polishing rate is greatest, are selected as measuring locations, and sites within dies are measured. The determination of the most typical measuring sites within the die where erosion/dishing occur is based on the following. Sites with minimal pitch and maximal filling factor are usually characterized by erosion effect, while sites with the maximal line width are characterized by dishing effect. For example, NovaScan Measurement System performing the measuring technique disclosed in U.S. Patent

Application Ser. No. 09/267,989, assigned to the assignee of the present application, can be used.

Turning back to Fig. 8B, sites  $S_2$  and  $S_3$  of potential erosion and/or dishing are within the patterned structures 74, namely in metal-containing regions between  
5 ILD regions, where the erosion and/or dishing condition is likely to develop.

### *Measurement*

#### *Residues*

Having prepared the map of "suspicious" sites for residues, erosion and/or dishing, these suspicious sites are measured by the measurement system 66 (Fig.5).  
10 In other words, when the recipe is already prepared, measurements are applied to the selected sites (features) within the selected locations (dies).

The measurement step is aimed at measuring the layers' thickness and/or their optical constants, in order to verify whether they are regions containing detrimental effects or not. The processed results are compared to predetermined  
15 values (e.g., expected values). According to the comparison results, the operational parameters of the polishing assembly 60 are, if required, adjusted for the next wafer to be polished, or for the next stage of multi-stage polishing of the same wafer. Thus, when the wafer W arrives to the measurement system 66, a calibration procedure is optionally performed, and after aligning and positioning the optical  
20 means relative to the wafer W, measurements are carried out.

If the measurement system 66 is designed for integrated monitoring, it is usual that the optical means of the system moves towards the selected location of the stationary positioned wafer. One possible example of a method for the wafer alignment based on the features of a pattern is disclosed in U.S. Patents Nos.  
25 5,682,242 and 5,867,590, both assigned to the assignee of the present application.

Generally speaking, in each selected die (constituting the selected location L), various pre-determined sites are measured for their thickness and/or optical constants. Consequentially, they can be identified for their material type, and a map of real residues is prepared based on these results. As far as the layers in each  
30 measuring site are semi-transparent, their thickness can be determined. This can

also be the case when a metal layer is thin enough, such as a 100A copper layer. In the case of an opaque layer (e.g., thick metal layer), its optical constant can be determined by light reflected from its surface.

The map of the presence of residues could be prepared using several  
5 standard image processing techniques, for example, that based on the comparison of data representative of images of the selected locations to that of the stored images (i.e., reference data), in order to detect real residues on the wafer. This comparison is aimed at identifying whether the measured data (i.e., acquired images) contains features which are not found in the stored image, or does not  
10 contain features presented in the reference data (stored image). This is the so-called die-to-database inspection technique. To perform the comparison, correlation functions or other pattern recognition methods known in the art can be used, such as that disclosed in the above-mentioned U.S. Patents Nos. 5,682,242 and 5,867,590. When using correlation functions, the correlation factor(s) is  
15 predetermined, being based on the specific application, wafer layers, wafer structure, etc. A change in the measured correlation factor(s) relative to the predetermined one(s) may indicate the absence or existence of residues, depending, respectively, on the fact that the measured data contains features which are not found in the stored image, or does not contain features of the reference data.

20 It is important to note that the case may be such that no residues appear in a measurement site (through the spectrophotometric measurements), whilst this site has been defined as the potential residues-containing site (either during the recipe preparation or according to the information provided by a manufacturer). This is typical for relatively small, point-like residues. The measurement system 66  
25 includes the microscope-based spectrophotometer and the CCD-based imaging system, the spectrophotometric detector and CCD having substantially overlapping fields of view. Practically, the field of view of the spectrophotometric detector is located in the central small region (e.g., 20 $\mu$ m) of the field of view of the CCD (e.g., 500x500 $\mu$ m). This is implemented by the use of a pinhole in the optical path  
30 of the collected light. As indicated above, such a measurement system is disclosed

in the U.S. Patent Application Ser. No. 08/497,382 assigned to the assignee of the present application, which is therefore incorporated herein by reference with respect to this specific example. Hence, the field of view of the CCD actually presents a frame having the measurement site in its center. The spectrophotometric measurement applied to the central region may indicate that the measurement site does not contain residues, while the imaging system that performs the image processing technique locates the residues in the vicinity of the measurement site within this frame. In this case, by displacing the frame (i.e., its center), the measurement could be applied to the real residues-containing point. Alternatively, the image processing can be performed prior to the spectrophotometric measurements.

In the case when the information regarding the stack layer of a specific wafer is not provided by the manufacturer, this information can be obtained during the recipe preparation that includes the preliminary optical measurements. Consequently, when having to move to a site other than that defined in the recipe, one probably will deal with a different layer structure. In this case, the layer structure has to be determined during the measurement in that specific site. This may, for example, be implemented by a fitting procedure based on reference data containing a library of various typical stack layers structures.

#### *Dishing/Erosion*

Regarding the dishing and erosion effects, the measurements are based on the following. Dishing and erosion measurements are tightly related. Therefore, the measuring site is practically composed of two predetermined locations for the measurements of various parameters. Referring to Fig. 9, there is illustrated a selected die 80 of the wafer W presenting a structure similar to the structure 40 in Fig. 4B. Consequently, same reference numbers are used for identifying the common components in the structures 40 and 80. The structure 80 includes the ILD stack layers within the dense pattern region 52 surrounded by thick ILD layer regions 54.

By applying the measurement system 66 to a first site  $S_1$  located within the field region 54, parameters  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$  and  $A_3$  are measured, corresponding to the thickness of, respectively, layer 42, "etch stop" layer 44 (e.g., SiN), and ILD layer 46. Further thickness measurements are applied to a second measuring site  $S_2$  located in the pattern region 52, and thickness value  $B_2$  is measured. Erosion is characterized by a thickness parameter  $B_1$ , which is determined as the difference between the measured values for  $A_1$  and  $B_2$ , i.e.,  $B_1 = A_1 - B_2$ .

More specifically, the system 66 measures the photometric intensities of different wavelengths contained in the detected, zero-order light component of light reflected from the measuring area. This measured data is graphically illustrated in Fig. 10, being shown as a dashed curve  $D_m$ . As indicated above, the processor unit 67 is pre-programmed by the optical model capable of producing theoretical data representative of the photometric intensities of different wavelengths, shown as a solid curve  $D_t$  in Fig. 10. By performing a fitting procedure between the measured and theoretical data, the optical model is optimized and the thickness parameters are calculated.

It should be noted that the sites  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  should preferably be located close to each other. Turning back to Figs. 8B and 9, the locations  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  to be measured are, respectively, the sites  $S_1$  and  $S_3$  disposed close to each other. This will enable to more accurately assume that the layers in site  $S_2$ , i.e., within the region 52, have dimensions substantially identical to those of its neighboring site  $S_1$  within the region 54.

Dishing (i.e., copper losses) is characterized by a parameter  $B_3$ . To measure this parameter, the following model is considered. Fig. 11 partly illustrates the patterned region 52 of the structure 80, wherein the existence of an additional layer 82 formed by ambient media (air or water) is assumed, wherein regions 82A of this layer 82 present the dishing condition. The optical model is capable of determining theoretical data representative of the spectrum in such an ambient-layer (air or water) containing structure.

Fig. 12 illustrates simulation results in the form of four graphs  $R_1$ -  $R_4$  corresponding, respectively, to the following conditions: (1) the absence of a dishing condition, (2) 200A dishing condition, (3) 500A dishing condition and (4) 750A dishing condition.

5 It should be specifically noted that the above-described measurements could be applied either to a patterned, die-containing area of the wafer, or to a test site provided at a marginal area of the wafer.

The advantages of the present invention are thus self-evident. The detection of copper and diffusion barrier layer residues, on the one hand, and the dishing and  
10 erosion conditions, on the other hand, enable the tight control of the entire CMP process. The well-optimized and controlled (dual) Damascene Copper process should enable the operation within a window of no residues and minimal dishing/erosion conditions. Should process excursions occur, the present invention enables the correction of polishing time to compensate for simple variations. In  
15 addition, the present invention enables to completely stop the process in cases where both residues and deep dishing are simultaneously present, i.e., when a drastic CMP or Copper deposition disturbance has occurred. If the spectrophotometer-based measurement system 66 is used for the recipe preparation of the residues map, the ILD and metal losses can be concurrently measured during  
20 this step. In other words, the detection of residues and/or dishing/erosion can be carried out simultaneously in the same recipe, or separately to increase the number of sampled dies for each case so as to enhance the control for the user's specific application. The determined metal and ILD losses can be used to correct the operational parameters of the polishing assembly 60 for a next wafer to be polished  
25 or for the next stage of multi-stage polishing of the same wafer, and thereby establish a feedback closed-loop control.

Obviously, many modifications and variations of the present invention are possible in the light of the above teachings. For example, in two-step polishing process, residues and dishing measurements can be used after completing the first

step of metal polishing but before the second step of oxide polishing. In this case, the erosion measurement is performed after completing the oxide polishing.

Those skilled in the art will readily appreciate that many modifications and changes may be applied to the invention as hereinbefore exemplified without  
5 departing from its scope, as defined in and by the appended claims.

**CLAIMS:**

1. A method for optical control of the quality of a process of chemical mechanical planarization performed by a polishing tool applied to an article so as to determine the existence of at least one of residues, erosion and dishing conditions on the  
5 article, wherein the article has a patterned area which containing a plurality of stacks each formed by a plurality of different layers thereby defining a pattern in the form of spaced-apart metal regions, the method comprising the steps of:
  - (a) selecting at least one predetermined site on the article to be controlled;
  - (b) illuminating said at least one predetermined site;
  - 10 (c) detecting spectral characteristics of light components reflected from the at least one illuminated site of the article, and generating data representative thereof; and
  - (d) analyzing said data for determining at least a thickness of at least one layer of the article within said at least one illuminated site.
- 15 2. The method according to Claim 1, wherein said at least one predetermined site is selected in accordance with information provided by a manufacturer of the article.
3. The method according to Claim 1, wherein said at least one predetermined site is disposed outside said patterned area, the determined parameter being indicative  
20 of the residues of materials to be removed from the article.
4. The method according to Claim 1, wherein said selecting of the at least one predetermined site comprises the step of:
  - applying a preliminary inspection to an article that has a structure similar to said article to be measured but is at least slightly under-polished by said polishing  
25 tool, said at least one predetermined site being that where residues are detected during the preliminary inspection.
5. The method according to Claim 4, wherein said preliminary inspection comprises:



- detecting light reflected from the at least slightly under-polished article and obtaining data representative thereof;
  - analyzing said data to detect the sites having optical properties different from those of other locations on the article.
- 5 6. The method according to Claim 4, wherein the different optical properties define a certain range of contrast of the reflected light.
7. The method according to Claim 4, wherein the different optical properties define certain spectral characteristics of the reflected light.
8. The method according to Claim 1, wherein said at least one selected site has
- 10 substantially irregular geometry, as compared to that of any other location on the article.
9. The method according to Claim 1, and also comprising the steps of:
- illuminating at least one additional site on the article spatially separated from said at least one predetermined site;
- 15 - detecting spectral characteristics of light reflected from the at least one additional illuminated site, and generating data representative thereof; and
- analyzing said data for determining at least one parameter of the article within said at least one additional illuminated site, said parameter being indicative of the erosion condition.
- 20 10. The method according to Claim 9, wherein said at least one predetermined site is selected outside the patterned area, while said at least one additional site is selected inside the patterned area.
11. The method according to Claim 9, wherein said analyzing of the data comprises the steps of:
- 25 - determining a first thickness of at least one layer of the article within said at least one predetermined site;
- determining a second thickness of at least one layer of the article within said at least one additional site;
  - using a proper optical model for calculating the first and second thicknesses, the
- 30 optical model being based on at least some features of the article and capable of

determining data representative of photometric intensities of light components of different wavelengths reflected from the article.

12. The method according to Claim 11, wherein a difference between the first and second thicknesses corresponds to the erosion condition within said at least one  
5 additional site.

13. The method according to Claim 1, wherein

- said at least one predetermined site is located inside the patterned area of the article;
- said analyzing utilizes a heuristic optical model based on at least some of  
10 features of the article and capable of determining data representative of photometric intensities of light components of different wavelengths reflected from the article and of calculating thickness of at least one layer of the article within said predetermined site, said model utilizing the existence of an additional, ambient layer above the metal region containing dishing.

15 14. The method according to Claim 1, wherein said selecting of the at least one predetermined site comprises the step of:

- performing preliminary monitoring by applying steps (b) to (d) to a test article constructed similar to said article under CMP, but being at least slightly over-polished, said at least one predetermined site being that where the erosion  
20 condition is detected during the preliminary monitoring.

15. The method according to Claim 1, wherein said selecting of the at least one predetermined site comprises the step of:

- performing preliminary monitoring by applying steps (b) to (d) to a test article constructed similar to said article under the CMP process, but being at least  
25 slightly over-polished, said at least one predetermined location being that where the dishing condition is detected during the preliminary monitoring.

16. A monitoring system for optical control of the quality of a process of chemical mechanical planarization performed by a polishing tool applied to an article having a patterned area, so as to determine at least one of residues, erosion  
30 and dishing conditions on the article, wherein the article contains a plurality of

stacks each formed by a plurality of different layers, thereby defining a pattern in the form of spaced-apart metal regions, the system comprising:

- a spectrophotometer illuminating at least one site of the article by incident radiation of a preset substantially wide wavelength range and detecting  
5 light reflected from the illuminated site for providing measured data representative of photometric intensities of detected light within said wavelength range;
- an imaging arrangement capable of acquiring images formed by reflected light components of light reflected from the illuminating sites; and
- 10 a processor unit coupled to the spectrophotometer and to the imaging arrangement, the processor unit comprising a pattern recognition software and a translation means so as to be responsive to said measured data and locate measurements, the processor unit being capable of applying a proper optical model based on at least some features of the article for providing  
15 theoretical data representative of photometric intensities of light reflected from the article within said wavelength range and calculating at least one desired parameter of the article within said at least one site.

17. The system according to Claim 16, wherein said system is an integrated system with a production line for manufacturing said articles.

- 20 18. The system according to Claim 16, and also comprising an imaging apparatus for performing preliminary inspection of said article for detecting said at least one site.

- 19. A production line for manufacturing articles, each having a patterned area containing a plurality of stacks each formed by a plurality of different layers,  
25 thereby defining a pattern in the form of spaced-apart metal regions, the production line comprising a polisher to be applied to said article for performing a chemical mechanical planarization of an uppermost metal layer of the article, and an optical monitoring system for determining at least one of residues, erosion and dishing conditions on the article, the system comprising:

- 30 a spectrophotometer illuminating at least one site of the article by incident

radiation of a preset substantially wide wavelength range and detecting light reflected from the illuminated site for providing measured data representative of photometric intensities of detected light within said wavelength range;

- 5 an imaging arrangement capable of acquiring images formed by light reflected from the illuminating sites; and
- a processor unit coupled to the spectrophotometer, the processor unit comprising a pattern recognition software and a translation means so as to be responsive to said measured data and locate measurements, the processor
- 10 unit being capable of applying an optical model based on at least some features of the article for providing theoretical data representative of photometric intensities of light reflected from the article within said wavelength range and calculating at least one desired parameter of the article within said at least one site.

1/11






FIG. 3

2/11




FIG. 4A




FIG. 4B

3/11




FIG.5

4/11




FIG. 6A




FIG. 6B





FIG. 6C




5/11



WAVELENGTH

FIG. 7A


6/11



WAVELENGTH

FIG. 7B

7/11



WAVELENGTH

FIG. 7C

8/11




FIG. 8A




FIG. 8B

9/11




FIG.9




FIG.10

10/11





FIG.11

11/11



WAVELENGTH

FIG.12

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No  
PCT/IL 00/00138

**A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER**  
IPC 7 H01L21/66

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

**B. FIELDS SEARCHED**

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)  
IPC 7 H01L

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal

**C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT**

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	US 5 835 225 A (THAKUR RANDHIR P S) 10 November 1998 (1998-11-10)  abstract; figure 1 column 3, line 65 - column 4, line 7 column 4, line 38 - line 42 column 7, line 22 - line 32 column 7, line 39 - line 61 column 10, line 63 - column 11, line 15 ---	1,2,9, 11,12, 16-19
A	US 5 663 797 A (SANDHU GURTEJ SINGH) 2 September 1997 (1997-09-02) column 2, line 61 - column 3, line 15 column 3, line 41 - line 57 column 5, line 8 - line 41 column 6, line 5 - line 14 column 7, line 47 - line 67 ---  -/--	2,4,5,7, 14

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

\* Special categories of cited documents:

- \*A\* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- \*E\* earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- \*L\* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- \*O\* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- \*P\* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

\*T\* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

\*X\* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

\*Y\* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.

\*B\* document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

27 June 2000

Date of mailing of the international search report

12/07/2000

Name and mailing address of the ISA  
European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2  
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk  
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo rd,  
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Ahlstedt, M



# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No  
PCT/IL 00/00138

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	US 5 633 173 A (BAE SANG M) 27 May 1997 (1997-05-27) column 1, line 54 - line 61 column 2, line 41 - line 66 claims 1,2 ---	3,8,10
A	EP 0 881 040 A (KLA TENCOR ;LAM RES CORP (US)) 2 December 1998 (1998-12-02) abstract column 5, line 17 - line 30 ---	1,9,11, 12
A	US 5 872 633 A (HOLZAPFEL PAUL ET AL) 16 February 1999 (1999-02-16) cited in the application column 4, line 1 - line 9 column 5, line 35 - line 38 column 9, line 27 - line 32 -----	1,9

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No

PCT/IL 00/00138

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US 5835225 A	10-11-1998	US 5783804 A	21-07-1998
		US 5618461 A	08-04-1997
US 5663797 A	02-09-1997	US 5910846 A	08-06-1999
US 5633173 A	27-05-1997	KR 154158 B	01-12-1998
		CN 1123911 A	05-06-1996
		GB 2291267 A,B	17-01-1996
EP 0881040 A	02-12-1998	JP 11077525 A	23-03-1999
US 5872633 A	16-02-1999	DE 19882110 T	11-05-2000
		GB 2337476 A	24-11-1999
		WO 9805066 A	05-02-1998
		WO 9834760 A	13-08-1998
		US 5958148 A	28-09-1999